


ADMINISTRATIVE CAPACITY INDEX

Regions 2024

Abruzzo



SYNTHETIC INDEX OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATIVE CAPACITY

P - Poor

Rating


37

out of 100


Chronological trend

Not available

Benchmark score




Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



Administrative capacity: summary of the 6 macro-indicators

- Score of the Public Administration
- Benchmark Public Administration for each macro-area
- Average score of Public Administrations assessed



1 Financial situation

P+ - Weak

Rating


44

out of 100


Chronological trend

Not available

Benchmark score



Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



Strengths

- Financial autonomy
- Spending rigidity



Weaknesses

- Collection capacity
- Spending capacity
- Per capita debt to suppliers
- Off-budget debts recognized and financed
- EU funds management - effected payments

INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR FINANCIAL SITUATION

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Financial autonomy	%	90.94	High score for high values	8	 High
Financial pressure per capita	€ p.c.	2,736.97	High score for low values	4	 Medium
Collection capacity	%	75.47	High score for high values	1	 Low
Spending capacity	%	78.77	High score for high values	1	 Low
Spending rigidity	%	2.34	High score for low values	8	 High
Capital account expenditure on total expenses	%	7.99	High score for high values	4	 Medium
Per capita debt from financing	€ p.c.	802.97	High score for low values	3	 Medium
Per capita debt to suppliers	€ p.c.	219.3	High score for low values	1	 Low
Off-budget debts recognized and financed	%	0.82	High score for low values	1	 Low

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Coverage of current expenditure and loan repayments through current revenues	%	105.88	High score for high values	4	■ ■ Medium
Deficit/surplus on health expenditure per capita	€ p.c.	-75.32	High score for high values	4	■ ■ Medium
Expenditure for accredited private facilities on expenditure for health services	%	34.97	High score for low values	4	■ ■ Medium
EU funds management - effected payments	%	71.0	High score for high values	1	■ Low

2 Governance

P - Poor

Rating


36

out of 100


Chronological trend

Not available

Benchmark score



Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



Strengths

- Public real estate properties - report
- Average completion time for public works



Weaknesses

- Efficiency indicator - activities and delivery times (M2)
- Digitalization expenditure incidence
- Investment expenditure on digitalization per 1,000 inhabitants
- Service outsourcing
- Public Real Estate properties - management
- Anti-corruption measures undertaken

INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR GOVERNANCE

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Integrated Activity and Organisation Plan (PIAO) - Public Value	abs	85.0	High score for high values	4	■ ■ Medium
PIAO - Performance	abs	85.0	High score for high values	3	■ ■ Medium
Efficiency indicator - activities and delivery times (M2)	abs	0.0	High score for high values	1	■ Low
Digitalization expenditure incidence	%	0.16	High score for high values	1	■ Low
Investment expenditure on digitalization per 1,000 inhabitants	€/1,000 inhabitants	959.0	High score for high values	1	■ Low
Service outsourcing	%	5.47	High score for low values	1	■ Low
Subsidiary companies	%	86.0	High score for high values	5	■ ■ Medium
Public real estate properties - report	abs	80.0	High score for high values	8	■ ■ ■ High
Public Real Estate properties - management	€ p.c.	-1.3893	High score for high values	1	■ Low

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Average completion time for public works	mean	0.93	High score for low values	6	 High
Compliance with public works supervision	%	34.0	High score for high values	4	 Medium
Anti-corruption measures undertaken	abs	57.2727	High score for high values	1	 Low

3 Personnel management

P - Poor

Rating


38

out of 100


Chronological trend

Not available

Benchmark score



Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



Strengths

- Graduated (from university) employees (category D)



Weaknesses

- Agile working employees out of total permanent employees
- Average of training days
- Total managers on total personnel
- Provided bonus out of allocated ones to managers
- Degree of differentiation of bonus paid to managers

INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Per capita personnel expenditure	€ p.c.	53.77	High score for low values	2	■ ■ Medium
Personnel with a permanent contract per 1,000 inhabitants	value per 1k inhab.	1.0457	High score for low values	3	■ ■ Medium
Personnel in flexible employment out of total employees	%	5.4347	High score for low values	5	■ ■ Medium
Average age	years	52.4	High score for low values	5	■ ■ Medium
Graduated (from university) employees (category D)	%	91.6667	High score for high values	10	■ ■ ■ High
Agile working employees out of total permanent employees	%	5.1205	High score for high values	1	■ Low
Average days of absence (except holidays and training)	days per person	19.4	High score for low values	5	■ ■ Medium

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Average of training days	days per person	0.03	High score for high values	1	 Low
EQ (High qualification) /EP (High professionalism) profiles in service out of total officials and EQ area	%	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.
Total managers on total personnel	%	5.05	High score for low values	1	 Low
Women managers on total managers	%	41.79	High score for high values	3	 Medium
Provided bonus out of allocated ones to managers	%	157.9641	High score for low values	1	 Low
Degree of differentiation of bonus paid to managers	variance	0.0	High score for high values	1	 Low

4 Public services and relations with citizens

P - Poor

Rating


35

out of 100


Chronological trend

Not available

Benchmark score



Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



Strengths

- Accredited private healthcare facilities per 10k inhabitants
- Services guaranteed in time (priority class B)



Weaknesses

- Hospital migration
- Per capita territorial pharmaceutical expenditure
- Essential levels of care - global indicator
- Per capita investment in health protection
- Per capita investments in transport and the right to mobility
- Per capita investment in economic development and competitiveness
- Per capita current expenditure on social rights, social policies and family
- Per capita current expenditure on education and right to study per school-age population

INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR PUBLIC SERVICES AND RELATIONS WITH CITIZENS

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Hospital migration	%	16.0	High score for low values	1	 Low
Accredited private healthcare facilities per 10k inhabitants	value per 10k inhab.	1.0945	High score for low values	8	 High
Per capita territorial pharmaceutical expenditure	€ p.c.	12.5	High score for low values	1	 Low
Services guaranteed in time (priority class B)	abs	100.0	High score for high values	8	 High
Essential levels of care - global indicator	abs	184.59	High score for high values	1	 Low
Landline high-speed internet access covering	%	57.5	High score for high values	4	 Medium
Per capita expenditure on health protection	€ p.c.	2,365.15	High score for high values	3	 Medium

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Per capita investment in health protection	€ p.c.	60.25	High score for high values	1	 Low
Per capita investments in transport and the right to mobility	€ p.c.	44.5	High score for high values	1	 Low
Per capita investment in economic development and competitiveness	€ p.c.	20.56	High score for high values	1	 Low
Per capita investment in land planning and housing construction	€ p.c.	22.04	High score for high values	4	 Medium
Per capita current expenditure on social rights, social policies and family	€ p.c.	49.93	High score for high values	1	 Low
Per capita current expenditure on education and right to study per school-age population	€/school-age citizen	145.08	High score for high values	1	 Low

5 Public tenders and relations with suppliers

P - Poor

Rating


33

out of 100


Chronological trend

Not available

Benchmark score



Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



Strengths

- Settlement of commercial debts incurred during previous years



Weaknesses

- TPI (Timeliness of Payment Indicator) - Ordinary component
- TPI (Timeliness of Payment Indicator) - Healthcare component
- Per capita total amount of debts with suppliers
- Number of corporate creditor per 10k inhabitants
- Settlement of commercial debts incurred during the fiscal year
- Incidence of direct awards to affiliated companies on total contracts - amount

INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR PUBLIC TENDERS AND RELATIONS WITH SUPPLIERS

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Direct procurements on global public tenders - number	%	66.67	High score for low values	4	■ ■ Medium
Direct procurements on global public tenders - amount	%	12.44	High score for low values	6	■ ■ Medium
TPI (Timeliness of Payment Indicator) - Ordinary component	days	32.0	High score for low values	2	■ Low
TPI (Timeliness of Payment Indicator) - Healthcare component	days	15.56	High score for low values	1	■ Low
Per capita total amount of debts with suppliers	€ p.c.	62.1635	High score for low values	1	■ Low
Number of corporate creditor per 10k inhabitants	value per 10k inhab.	8.1577	High score for low values	1	■ Low
Settlement of commercial debts incurred during the fiscal year	%	39.71	High score for high values	1	■ Low
Settlement of commercial debts incurred during previous years	%	80.5	High score for high values	10	■ ■ ■ High
Percentage of framework agreement contracts on total contracts – amount	%	29.26	High score for low values	6	■ ■ Medium

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Incidence of direct awards to affiliated companies on total contracts - amount	%	25.85	High score for low values	1	 Low

6 Environment

P+ - Weak

Rating


41

out of 100


Chronological trend

Not available

Benchmark score



Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



Strengths



Weaknesses

- Per capita expenditure on environmental protection, enhancement and restoration
- Per capita investment in waste management
- Population exposed to landslide risk

INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR ENVIRONMENT

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Per capita expenditure on environmental protection, enhancement and restoration	€ p.c.	1.82	High score for high values	1	 Low
Per capita investment in the unified regional policy for sustainable development and the protection of land and environment	€ p.c.	2.77	High score for high values	4	 Medium
Per capita current expenditure on protected areas, nature parks, nature conservation and afforestation	€ p.c.	2.72	High score for high values	3	 Medium
Air quality - PM 2.5	%	81.8	High score for low values	4	 Medium
Per capita investment in air quality and pollution reduction	€ p.c.	1.3	High score for high values	4	 Medium
Per capita investment in integrated urban water management	€ p.c.	6.72	High score for high values	4	 Medium
Urban waste disposal at landfill	%	22.7	High score for low values	4	 Medium

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Per capita investment in waste management	€ p.c.	0.3	High score for high values	1	 Low
Electricity consumption covered by renewable sources	%	39.4103	High score for high values	4	 Medium
Population exposed to landslide risk	%	5.6067	High score for low values	1	 Low
Population exposed to flood risk	%	7.2334	High score for low values	4	 Medium
Per capita expenditure on soil defense	€ p.c.	7.58	High score for high values	3	 Medium
Per capita investment in soil defense	€ p.c.	18.88	High score for high values	4	 Medium