




Research Center on Public Entities A company of Fondazione Etica

ADMINISTRATIVE CAPACITY INDEX


Regions 2024

Sicilia



SYNTHETIC INDEX OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATIVE CAPACITY


P - Poor



Benchmark score




Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class




Administrative capacity: summary of the 6 macro-indicators

- Score of the Public Administration
- Benchmark Public Administration for each macro-area
- Average score of Public Administrations assessed



1 Financial situation

F - Fallible




Benchmark score

Benchmark
Friuli-Venezia Giulia **72/100**

Average score of the Public Administrations **51/100**

Worst score
Molise **10/100**

Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



Strengths

- Off-budget debts recognized and financed
- Deficit/surplus on health expenditure per capita




Weaknesses

- Expenditure for accredited private facilities on expenditure for health services
- EU funds management - effected payments


INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR FINANCIAL SITUATION

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Financial autonomy	%	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Financial pressure per capita	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.
Collection capacity	%	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Spending capacity	%	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Spending rigidity	%	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.
Capital account expenditure on total expenses	%	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Per capita debt from financing	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.
Per capita debt to suppliers	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.
Off-budget debts recognized and financed	%	0.0	High score for low values	8	 High

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Coverage of current expenditure and loan repayments through current revenues	%	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Deficit/surplus on health expenditure per capita	€ p.c.	0.19	High score for high values	8	 High
Expenditure for accredited private facilities on expenditure for health services	%	49.51	High score for low values	1	 Low
EU funds management - effected payments	%	76.0	High score for high values	1	 Low

2 Governance**P - Poor****Benchmark score**

Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



Strengths

- Integrated Activity and Organisation Plan (PIAO) - Public Value
- Anti-corruption measures undertaken



Weaknesses

- Efficiency indicator - activities and delivery times (M2)
- Digitalization expenditure incidence
- Subsidiary companies
- Public Real Estate properties - management
- Compliance with public works supervision


INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR GOVERNANCE

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Integrated Activity and Organisation Plan (PIAO) - Public Value	abs	100.0	High score for high values	8	 High
PIAO - Performance	abs	85.0	High score for high values	3	 Medium
Efficiency indicator - activities and delivery times (M2)	abs	0.0	High score for high values	1	 Low
Digitalization expenditure incidence	%	0.11	High score for high values	1	 Low
Investment expenditure on digitalization per 1,000 inhabitants	€/1,000 inhabitants	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Service outsourcing	%	3.68	High score for low values	5	 Medium
Subsidiary companies	%	17.0	High score for high values	1	 Low
Public real estate properties - report	abs	52.0	High score for high values	4	 Medium
Public Real Estate properties - management	€ p.c.	-9.5393	High score for high values	1	 Low

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Average completion time for public works	mean	1.09	High score for low values	3	■■ Medium
Compliance with public works supervision	%	25.0	High score for high values	1	■ Low
Anti-corruption measures undertaken	abs	93.6364	High score for high values	10	■■■ High

3

Personnel management

P+ - Weak


Benchmark score

Benchmark
Emilia-Romagna **85/100**

Average score of the Public Administrations **50/100**

Worst score
Molise **24/100**

Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



Strengths

- Personnel in flexible employment out of total employees
- EQ (High qualification) /EP (High professionalism) profiles in service out of total officials and EQ area
- Provided bonus out of allocated ones to managers
- Degree of differentiation of bonus paid to managers



Weaknesses

- Average age
- Graduated (from university) employees (category D)
- Agile working employees out of total permanent employees
- Average days of absence (except holidays and training)
- Total managers on total personnel
- Women managers on total managers

INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Per capita personnel expenditure	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.
Personnel with a permanent contract per 1,000 inhabitants	value per 1k inhab.	2.4119	High score for low values	3	 Medium
Personnel in flexible employment out of total employees	%	0.3113	High score for low values	10	 High
Average age	years	58.3	High score for low values	1	 Low
Graduated (from university) employees (category D)	%	44.4004	High score for high values	1	 Low
Agile working employees out of total permanent employees	%	10.5327	High score for high values	1	 Low
Average days of absence (except holidays and training)	days per person	23.5	High score for low values	1	 Low

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Average of training days	days per person	0.96	High score for high values	6	 Medium
EQ (High qualification) /EP (High professionalism) profiles in service out of total officials and EQ area	%	4.315	High score for low values	4	 High
Total managers on total personnel	%	6.4	High score for low values	1	 Low
Women managers on total managers	%	31.76	High score for high values	1	 Low
Provided bonus out of allocated ones to managers	%	0.0	High score for low values	8	 High
Degree of differentiation of bonus paid to managers	variance	230.4724	High score for high values	6	 High

4

Public services and relations with citizens

P - Poor

Rating
25
out of 100

Chronological trend

Not available


Benchmark score

Benchmark
P.A. Bolzano **77/100**

Average score of the Public Administrations **47/100**

Worst score
Molise **22/100**

Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



Strengths



Weaknesses

- Hospital migration
- Essential levels of care - global indicator

INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR PUBLIC SERVICES AND RELATIONS WITH CITIZENS

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Hospital migration	%	7.0	High score for low values	8	 High
Accredited private healthcare facilities per 10k inhabitants	value per 10k inhab.	2.7949	High score for low values	4	 Medium
Per capita territorial pharmaceutical expenditure	€ p.c.	11.2	High score for low values	4	 Medium
Services guaranteed in time (priority class B)	abs	77.78	High score for high values	4	 Medium
Essential levels of care - global indicator	abs	183.6	High score for high values	1	 Low
Landline high-speed internet access covering	%	63.1	High score for high values	4	 Medium
Per capita expenditure on health protection	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Per capita investment in health protection	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Per capita investments in transport and the right to mobility	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Per capita investment in economic development and competitiveness	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Per capita investment in land planning and housing construction	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Per capita current expenditure on social rights, social policies and family	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Per capita current expenditure on education and right to study per school-age population	€/school-age citizen	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.

5

Public tenders and relations with suppliers

PP - Satisfactory

Rating

52

out of 100

Chronological trend

Not available

Benchmark score

Benchmark

P.A. Bolzano

90/100

Average score of the Public Administrations


61/100

Worst score

Calabria

25/100

Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



Strengths

- Direct procurements on global public tenders - amount
- TPI (Timeliness of Payment Indicator) - Healthcare component
- Settlement of commercial debts incurred during the fiscal year



Weaknesses

- Number of corporate creditor per 10k inhabitants
- Settlement of commercial debts incurred during previous years
- Percentage of framework agreement contracts on total contracts - amount

INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR PUBLIC TENDERS AND RELATIONS WITH SUPPLIERS

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Direct procurements on global public tenders - number	%	59.66	High score for low values	4	 Medium
Direct procurements on global public tenders - amount	%	3.69	High score for low values	12	 High
TPI (Timeliness of Payment Indicator) - Ordinary component	days	0.0	High score for low values	8	 Medium
TPI (Timeliness of Payment Indicator) - Healthcare component	days	-23.52	High score for low values	10	 High
Per capita total amount of debts with suppliers	€ p.c.	9.6082	High score for low values	5	 Medium
Number of corporate creditor per 10k inhabitants	value per 10k inhab.	7.4085	High score for low values	1	 Low
Settlement of commercial debts incurred during the fiscal year	%	84.1	High score for high values	8	 High
Settlement of commercial debts incurred during previous years	%	29.16	High score for high values	1	 Low
Percentage of framework agreement contracts on total contracts – amount	%	77.12	High score for low values	1	 Low

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Incidence of direct awards to affiliated companies on total contracts - amount	%	0.11	High score for low values	2	 Medium

6

Environment

P - Poor

Rating

21

out of 100

Chronological trend

Not available

Benchmark score

Benchmark

Sardegna

81/100



A horizontal bar chart showing the benchmark score for Sardegna. The bar is blue and reaches the 81 mark on a scale from 0 to 100. The text "81/100" is displayed to the right of the bar.

Average score of the Public Administrations

44/100



A horizontal bar chart showing the average score of the Public Administrations. The bar is red and reaches the 44 mark on a scale from 0 to 100. The text "44/100" is displayed to the right of the bar.

Worst score


Sicilia

21/100



A horizontal bar chart showing the worst score for Sicilia. The bar is orange and reaches the 21 mark on a scale from 0 to 100. The text "21/100" is displayed to the right of the bar.

Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



Strengths

- Population exposed to flood risk



Weaknesses

- Urban waste disposal at landfill

INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR ENVIRONMENT

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Per capita expenditure on environmental protection, enhancement and restoration	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Per capita investment in the unified regional policy for sustainable development and the protection of land and environment	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Per capita current expenditure on protected areas, nature parks, nature conservation and afforestation	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Air quality - PM 2.5	%	64.7	High score for low values	4	 Medium
Per capita investment in air quality and pollution reduction	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Per capita investment in integrated urban water management	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Urban waste disposal at landfill	%	40.5	High score for low values	1	 Low

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Per capita investment in waste management	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Electricity consumption covered by renewable sources	%	28.5638	High score for high values	4	 Medium
Population exposed to landslide risk	%	1.7843	High score for low values	4	 Medium
Population exposed to flood risk	%	2.6294	High score for low values	8	 High
Per capita expenditure on soil defense	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Per capita investment in soil defense	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.