


## ADMINISTRATIVE CAPACITY INDEX

Regions  
data collection 2023

# Abruzzo



## SYNTHETIC INDEX OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATIVE CAPACITY

P+ - Weak

Rating


42

out of 100


Chronological trend



### Benchmark score




### Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



### Administrative capacity: summary of the 6 macro-indicators

- Score of the Public Administration
- Benchmark Public Administration for each macro-area
- Average score of Public Administrations assessed



## 1 Financial situation

P+ - Weak

Rating


44

out of 100


Chronological trend



### Benchmark score



### Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



#### Strengths

- Spending rigidity
- Capital account expenditure financed by loans and bonds



#### Weaknesses

- Collection capacity
- Spending capacity
- Off-budget debts recognized and financed
- EU funds management - effected payments

## INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR FINANCIAL SITUATION

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Financial autonomy	%	86.6458	High score for high values	4	■ ■ Medium
Financial pressure per capita	€ p.c.	2,599.1241	High score for low values	4	■ ■ Medium
Collection capacity	%	68.6766	High score for high values	1	■ Low
Spending capacity	%	71.6436	High score for high values	1	■ Low
Spending rigidity	%	2.2903	High score for low values	8	■ ■ ■ High
Debt per capita	€ p.c.	819.6601	High score for low values	4	■ ■ Medium
Off-budget debts recognized and financed	%	0.57	High score for low values	1	■ Low

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Coverage of current expenditure and loan repayments through current revenues	%	103.7273	High score for high values	4	■ ■ Medium
New liabilities generated in the current period on the current accumulated liabilities	%	64.5598	High score for low values	4	■ ■ Medium
Capital account expenditure financed by loans and bonds	%	0.0	High score for low values	8	■ ■ ■ High
Deficit/surplus on health expenditure per capita	€ p.c.	-5.3816	High score for high values	4	■ ■ Medium
EU funds management - effected payments	%	56.0	High score for high values	1	■ Low

## 2 Governance

P - Poor

Rating


35

out of 100

Chronological trend




### Benchmark score





### Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



#### Strengths


- E- Government
- Public Real Estate properties - report
- Average completion time for public works



#### Weaknesses

- Degree of digitalization
- Performance
- Working from home (WFH)
- Public Real Estate properties - management
- Subsidiary companies
- Service outsourcing

## INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR GOVERNANCE

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
E- Government	absolute value	119.0	High score for high values	8	 High
Degree of digitalization	absolute value	0.195	High score for high values	1	 Low
Performance	absolute value	10.0	High score for high values	1	 Low
Working from home (WFH)	absolute value	100.0	High score for high values	1	 Low
Public Real Estate properties - report	absolute value	111.0	High score for high values	8	 High
Public Real Estate properties - management	€ p.c.	-1.9608	High score for high values	1	 Low
Subsidiary companies	absolute value	33.3333	High score for high values	1	 Low
Anti-corruption measures undertaken	absolute value	8.0	High score for high values	5	 Medium
Service outsourcing	%	4.61	High score for low values	1	 Low
Efficiency indicator - reporting	absolute value	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Efficiency indicator - timing supervision	absolute value	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Average completion time for public works	mean value	0.7739	High score for low values	4	 High

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Compliance with public works supervision	%	35.0	High score for high values	4	■ ■ Medium

### 3 Personnel management

P - Poor

Rating


36

out of 100


Chronological trend



#### Benchmark score



### Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



#### Strengths



#### Weaknesses

- Average of training days
- Total managers on total personnel
- Provided bonus out of allocated ones to managers
- Degree of differentiation of bonus paid to managers

## INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Per capita personnel expenditure	€ p.c.	50.232	High score for low values	5	■ ■ Medium
Personnel with a permanent contract per 1,000 inhabitants	val./1.000 ab.	0.9859	High score for low values	5	■ ■ Medium
Personnel with fixed-term contract on total personnel	%	0.9971	High score for low values	5	■ ■ Medium
Average age	years	54.476	High score for low values	5	■ ■ Medium
Personnel with a degree on total personnel	%	51.5974	High score for high values	4	■ ■ Medium
Average days of absence (except holidays and training)	days per person	15.5479	High score for low values	4	■ ■ Medium
Average of training days	days	0.0332	High score for high values	1	■ Low
Total managers on total personnel	%	4.7923	High score for low values	1	■ Low
Women managers on total managers	%	43.3333	High score for high values	4	■ ■ Medium

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Provided bonus out of allocated ones to managers	%	154.5417	High score for low values	1	<span style="color: red;">■</span> Low
Degree of differentiation of bonus paid to managers	variance	0.0	High score for high values	1	<span style="color: red;">■</span> Low

## 4 Public services and relations with citizens

P+ - Weak

Rating


49

out of 100

Chronological trend




### Benchmark score





### Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



#### Strengths

- Integrated Home Care services
- Accredited private healthcare facilities per 10k inhabitants
- Services guaranteed in time (priority class B)



#### Weaknesses

- Per capita expenditure on economic development and competitiveness
- Per capita expenditure on labour policies and vocational education
- Hospital migration
- Beds in residential healthcare facilities per 10k inhabitants
- Per capita territorial pharmaceutical expenditure

## INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR PUBLIC SERVICES AND RELATIONS WITH CITIZENS

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Landline high-speed internet access covering	%	40.7	High score for high values	4	■ ■ Medium
Per capita expenditure on transport and right to mobility	€ p.c.	165.4911	High score for high values	4	■ ■ Medium
Per capita expenditure on economic development and competitiveness	€ p.c.	3.0801	High score for high values	1	■ Low
Per capita expenditure on labour policies and vocational education	€ p.c.	16.7702	High score for high values	1	■ Low
Hospital migration	%	14.9	High score for low values	1	■ Low
Beds in residential healthcare facilities per 10k inhabitants	val./10.000 ab.	43.1	High score for high values	1	■ Low
Integrated Home Care services	%	4.7	High score for high values	8	■ ■ ■ High
Accredited private healthcare facilities per 10k inhabitants	val./10.000 ab.	1.0345	High score for low values	8	■ ■ ■ High
Per capita territorial pharmaceutical expenditure	€ p.c.	12.5	High score for low values	1	■ Low

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Essential levels of care - prevention area	absolute value	77.7394	High score for high values	4	■ ■ Medium
Essential levels of care - territorial area	absolute value	68.4606	High score for high values	4	■ ■ Medium
Essential levels of care - hospital area	absolute value	69.2523	High score for high values	4	■ ■ Medium
Services guaranteed in time (priority class B)	absolute value	100.0	High score for high values	8	■ ■ ■ High

**5** **Public tenders and relations with suppliers**

**P - Poor**

Rating


**35**

out of 100


Chronological trend



**Benchmark score**



### Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



#### Strengths








- Settlement of commercial debts incurred during previous years



#### Weaknesses

- Direct procurements on global public tenders - number
- Timeliness of payments indicator
- Number of corporate creditor per 10k inhabitants
- Settlement of commercial debts incurred during the fiscal year

## INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR PUBLIC TENDERS AND RELATIONS WITH SUPPLIERS

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Direct procurements on global public tenders - number	%	87.1827	High score for low values	1	 Low
Direct procurements on global public tenders - amount	%	23.6417	High score for low values	4	 Medium
Timeliness of payments indicator	days	74.0	High score for low values	2	 Low
Per capita total amount of debts with suppliers	€ p.c.	10.873	High score for low values	8	 Medium
Number of corporate creditor per 10k inhabitants	val./10.000 ab.	11.1666	High score for low values	2	 Low
Settlement of commercial debts incurred during the fiscal year	%	34.82	High score for high values	2	 Low
Settlement of commercial debts incurred during previous years	%	86.22	High score for high values	16	 High

## 6 Environment

PP+ - Good

Rating


61

out of 100


Chronological trend



### Benchmark score



### Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



#### Strengths










#### Weaknesses

- Land consumption
- Contaminated sites
- Soil waterproofing due to artificial covering

- Population exposed to landslide risk



## INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR ENVIRONMENT

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Air quality - PM 2.5	%	81.8	High score for low values	6	 Medium
Land consumption	%	5.02	High score for low values	12	 High
Contaminated sites	‰ inhabitants	0.6	High score for low values	10	 High
Urban waste disposal at landfill	%	27.5	High score for low values	5	 Medium
Soil waterproofing due to artificial covering	%	5.1	High score for low values	10	 High
Electricity consumption covered by renewable sources	%	45.9	High score for high values	6	 Medium
Population exposed to landslide risk	%	5.6	High score for low values	1	 Low

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Population exposed to flood risk	%	7.2	High score for low values	5	■ ■ Medium
Per capita expenditure on sustainable development and environmental protection	€ p.c.	14.5734	High score for high values	6	■ ■ Medium