

ADMINISTRATIVE CAPACITY INDEX

Regions 2023

Basilicata



SYNTHETIC INDEX OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATIVE CAPACITY

P - Poor

Rating

28

out of 100

Chronological trend



Benchmark score

Benchmark
Emilia-Romagna **68/100**



Average score of the Public Administrations **50/100**



Worst score
Basilicata
Molise **28/100**





Research Center on Public Entities A company of [Fondazione Etica](#)

Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class

Administrative capacity: summary of the 6 macro-indicators

1 Financial situation

F - Fallible

Rating

8

out of 100

Chronological trend



Benchmark score

Benchmark
Emilia-Romagna **79/100**



Average score of the Public Administrations **49/100**



Worst score
Sicilia
Molise **6/100**



Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



Strengths



Weaknesses

INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR FINANCIAL SITUATION

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Financial autonomy	%	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Financial pressure per capita	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.
Collection capacity	%	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Spending capacity	%	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Spending rigidity	%	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.
Per capita debt from financing	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.
Off-budget debts recognized and financed	%	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.
Coverage of current expenditure and loan repayments through current revenues	%	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
New liabilities generated in the current period on the current accumulated liabilities	%	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Capital account expenditure financed by loans and bonds	%	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.
Deficit/surplus on health expenditure per capita	€ p.c.	- 18.4518	High score for high values	4	■ ■ Medium
EU funds management - effected payments	%	76.0	High score for high values	4	■ ■ Medium

2 Governance

P - Poor

Rating


25

out of 100

Chronological trend



Benchmark score



Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



Strengths

- Compliance with public works supervision



Weaknesses

- Working from home (WFH)
- Public Real Estate properties - report
- Subsidiary companies
- Anti-corruption measures undertaken

INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR GOVERNANCE

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
E- Government	absolute value	104.0	High score for high values	4	■ ■ Medium
Degree of digitalization	absolute value	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Performance	absolute value	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Working from home (WFH)	absolute value	100.0	High score for high values	1	■ Low
Public Real Estate properties - report	absolute value	100.0	High score for high values	1	■ Low
Public Real Estate properties - management	€ p.c.	-0.0248	High score for high values	4	■ ■ Medium
Subsidiary companies	absolute value	66.6667	High score for high values	1	■ Low
Anti-corruption measures undertaken	absolute value	4.4	High score for high values	1	■ Low
Service outsourcing	%	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.
Efficiency indicator - reporting	absolute value	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Efficiency indicator - timing supervision	absolute value	10.0	High score for high values	3	■ ■ Medium
Average completion time for public works	mean value	1.029	High score for low values	2	■ ■ Medium

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Compliance with public works supervision	%	65.0	High score for high values	8	High

3 Personnel management

P - Poor

Rating


31

out of 100

Chronological trend



Benchmark score



Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



Strengths



Weaknesses

- Personnel with fixed-term contract on total personnel

- Average age
- Average of training days
- Women managers on total managers

INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Per capita personnel expenditure	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.
Personnel with a permanent contract per 1,000 inhabitants	val./1.000 ab.	1.8615	High score for low values	5	■ Medium
Personnel with fixed-term contract on total personnel	%	0.2108	High score for low values	10	■■ High
Average age	years	56.7703	High score for low values	1	■ Low
Personnel with a degree on total personnel	%	45.5455	High score for high values	4	■ Medium
Average days of absence (except holidays and training)	days per person	13.7528	High score for low values	4	■ Medium
Average of training days	days	0.035	High score for high values	1	■ Low
Total managers on total personnel	%	4.1041	High score for low values	5	■ Medium
Women managers on total managers	%	26.8293	High score for high values	1	■ Low
Provided bonus out of allocated ones to managers	%	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.
Degree of differentiation of bonus paid to managers	variance	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.

4 Public services and relations with citizens

P - Poor

Rating

36

out of 100

Chronological trend



Benchmark score

Benchmark

Toscana

74/100



Average score of the Public Administrations

51/100



Worst score

Puglia

28/100



Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



Strengths







- Integrated Home Care services
- Accredited private healthcare facilities per 10k inhabitants
- Services guaranteed in time (priority class B)



Weaknesses

- Landline high-speed internet access covering
- Hospital migration
- Per capita territorial pharmaceutical expenditure
- Essential levels of care - territorial area
- Essential levels of care - hospital area

INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR PUBLIC SERVICES AND RELATIONS WITH CITIZENS

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Landline high-speed internet access covering	%	26.9	High score for high values	1	 Low
Per capita expenditure on transport and right to mobility	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Per capita expenditure on economic development and competitiveness	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Per capita expenditure on labour policies and vocational education	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Hospital migration	%	26.9	High score for low values	1	 Low
Beds in residential healthcare facilities per 10k inhabitants	val./10.000 ab.	75.1	High score for high values	3	 Medium
Integrated Home Care services	%	3.9	High score for high values	8	 High
Accredited private healthcare facilities per 10k inhabitants	val./10.000 ab.	1.7	High score for low values	8	 High
Per capita territorial pharmaceutical expenditure	€ p.c.	13.2	High score for low values	1	 Low

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Essential levels of care - prevention area	absolute value	79.6301	High score for high values	4	■ ■ Medium
Essential levels of care - territorial area	absolute value	64.2247	High score for high values	1	■ Low
Essential levels of care - hospital area	absolute value	63.691	High score for high values	1	■ Low
Services guaranteed in time (priority class B)	absolute value	100.0	High score for high values	8	■ ■ ■ High

5 Public tenders and relations with suppliers


F - Fallible

Rating
18
out of 100

Chronological trend



Benchmark score



Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



Strengths



Weaknesses

INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR PUBLIC TENDERS AND RELATIONS WITH SUPPLIERS

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Direct procurements on global public tenders - number	%	72.7074	High score for low values	4	■ ■ Medium
Direct procurements on global public tenders - amount	%	8.8269	High score for low values	4	■ ■ Medium
Timeliness of payments indicator	days	11.1	High score for low values	10	■ ■ Medium
Per capita total amount of debts with suppliers	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.
Number of corporate creditor per 10k inhabitants	val./10.000 ab.	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.
Settlement of commercial debts incurred during the fiscal year	%	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Settlement of commercial debts incurred during previous years	%	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.

6 Environment

PP+ - Good

Rating

63

out of 100

Chronological trend



Benchmark score

Benchmark
Valle d'Aosta **69/100**



Average score of the Public Administrations **51/100**



Worst score
Liguria **32/100**



Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



Strengths

- Air quality - PM 2.5
- Land consumption
- Soil waterproofing due to artificial covering
- Electricity consumption covered by renewable sources
- Population exposed to flood risk



Weaknesses

- Urban waste disposal at landfill
- Population exposed to landslide risk

INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR ENVIRONMENT

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Air quality - PM 2.5	%	8.3	High score for low values	12	High
Land consumption	%	3.17	High score for low values	12	High
Contaminated sites	‰ inhabitants	4.0	High score for low values	5	Medium
Urban waste disposal at landfill	%	44.0	High score for low values	1	Low
Soil waterproofing due to artificial covering	%	3.2	High score for low values	10	High
Electricity consumption covered by renewable sources	%	111.5	High score for high values	12	High
Population exposed to landslide risk	%	7.0	High score for low values	1	Low

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Population exposed to flood risk	%	1.1	High score for low values	10	High
Per capita expenditure on sustainable development and environmental protection	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.