![REP — Research Center on Public Entities | A company of **Fondazione Etica**]

ADMINISTRATIVE CAPACITY INDEX

Regions 2023

Sicilia

![REP - Research Center on Public Entities | A company of Fondazione Etica](logo)

👥 Population
4,802,016

🪙 GDP per capita
€ 20,178.48

SYNTHETIC INDEX OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATIVE CAPACITY

P - Poor

Rating	Chronological trend
34 out of 100	**Not available**

Benchmark score

Benchmark
Emilia-Romagna   **68**/100

Average score of the Public Administrations   **50**/100

Worst score
Basilicata
Molise   **28**/100

Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class

ND - Unavailable
F - Fallible
P - Poor
P+ - Weak
PP - Satisfactory
PP+ - Good
PPP - Very Good
PPP+ - Excellent

Administrative capacity: summary of the 6 macro-indicators

●— **Score of the Public Administration**
●— **Benchmark Public Administration for each macro-area**
●— **Average score of Public Administrations assessed**

Financial situation

Environment

Governance

Public tenders and relations with suppliers

Personnel management

Public services and relations with citizens

50

0

(1) **Financial situation**

F - Fallible

Rating	Chronological trend
	Not available

6

out of 100

Benchmark score

Benchmark
Emilia-Romagna   **79**/100

Average score of the Public Administrations   **49**/100

Worst score
Sicilia
Molise   **6**/100

Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class

- ND - Unavailable
- **F - Fallible**
- P - Poor
- P+ - Weak
- PP - Satisfactory
- PP+ - Good
- PPP - Very Good
- PPP+ - Excellent

Strengths

Weaknesses

- Off-budget debts recognized and financed
- EU funds management - effected payments

INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR FINANCIAL SITUATION

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Financial autonomy	%	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Financial pressure per capita	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.
Collection capacity	%	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Spending capacity	%	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Spending rigidity	%	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.
Per capita debt from financing	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.
Off-budget debts recognized and financed	%	1.17	High score for low values	1	▌ Low
Coverage of current expenditure and loan repayments through current revenues	%	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
New liabilities generated in the current period on the current accumulated liabilities	%	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Capital account expenditure financed by loans and bonds	%	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.
Deficit/surplus on health expenditure per capita	€ p.c.	- 8.2022	High score for high values	4	▐▌ Medium
EU funds management - effected payments	%	59.0	High score for high values	1	▌ Low

(2) Governance

P - Poor

Rating

35

out of 100

Chronological trend

Not available

Benchmark score

Benchmark
Emilia-Romagna

65/100

Average score of the Public Administrations

43/100

Worst score
Molise

21/100

Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class

| ND - Unavailable | F - Fallible | **P - Poor** | P+ - Weak | PP - Satisfactory | PP+ - Good | PPP - Very Good | PPP+ - Excellent |

⚡ Strengths

- Degree of digitalization
- Anti-corruption measures undertaken

Weaknesses

- Working from home (WFH)
- Public Real Estate properties - management
- Average completion time for public works
- Compliance with public works supervision

INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR GOVERNANCE

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
E- Government	abs	107.0	High score for high values	4	▌▌ Medium
Degree of digitalization	abs	12.0	High score for high values	8	▐▐▐ High
Performance	abs	110.0	High score for high values	4	▌▌ Medium
Working from home (WFH)	abs	100.0	High score for high values	1	▌ Low
Public real estate properties - report	abs	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Public Real Estate properties - management	€ p.c.	-6.5434	High score for high values	1	▌ Low
Subsidiary companies	abs	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Anti-corruption measures undertaken	abs	9.9	High score for high values	10	▐▐▐ High
Service outsourcing	%	3.2	High score for low values	5	▌▌ Medium
Efficiency indicator - reporting	abs	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Efficiency indicator - timing supervision	abs	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Average completion time for public works	mean	1.8853	High score for low values	1	▌ Low

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Compliance with public works supervision	%	26.0	High score for high values	1	▌Low

![REP - Research Center on Public Entities - A company of Fondazione Etica]

③ Personnel management

P - Poor

Rating

34

out of 100

Chronological trend

Not available

Benchmark score

Benchmark
Emilia-Romagna   **87**/100

Average score of the Public Administrations   **51**/100

Worst score
Molise   **27**/100

Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class

ND - Unavailable

F - Fallible

P - Poor

P+ - Weak

PP - Satisfactory

PP+ - Good

PPP - Very Good

PPP+ - Excellent

Strengths

- Personnel with fixed-term contract on total personnel
- Provided bonus out of allocated ones to managers

Weaknesses

- Average age
- Personnel with a degree on total personnel
- Average days of absence (except holidays and training)
- Total managers on total personnel
- Women managers on total managers
- Degree of differentiation of bonus paid to managers

INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Per capita personnel expenditure	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.
Personnel with a permanent contract per 1,000 inhabitants	value per 1k inhab.	2.4935	High score for low values	5	▋▋ Medium
Personnel with fixed-term contract on total personnel	%	0.0	High score for low values	10	▋▋▋ High
Average age	years	57.4548	High score for low values	1	▋ Low
Personnel with a degree on total personnel	%	26.9584	High score for high values	1	▋ Low
Average days of absence (except holidays and training)	days per person	19.383	High score for low values	1	▋ Low
Average of training days	days	0.6253	High score for high values	5	▋▋ Medium
Total managers on total personnel	%	6.9818	High score for low values	1	▋ Low
Women managers on total managers	%	31.2201	High score for high values	1	▋ Low
Provided bonus out of allocated ones to managers	%	72.3839	High score for low values	8	▋▋▋ High
Degree of differentiation of bonus paid to managers	variance	15.438	High score for high values	1	▋ Low

(4) **Public services and relations with citizens**

P+ - Weak

Rating

42

out of 100

Chronological trend

Not available

Benchmark score

Benchmark
Toscana

**74**/100

Average score of the Public Administrations

51/100

Worst score
Puglia

28/100

Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class

	Strengths		Weaknesses
●	Landline high-speed internet access covering	●	Beds in residential healthcare facilities per 10k inhabitants
●	Hospital migration	●	Essential levels of care - prevention area
●	Integrated Home Care services	●	Essential levels of care - territorial area

INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR PUBLIC SERVICES AND RELATIONS WITH CITIZENS

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Landline high-speed internet access covering	%	47.5	High score for high values	8	▮▮▮ High
Per capita current expenditure on transport and right to mobility	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Per capita expenditure on economic development and competitiveness	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Per capita expenditure on labour policies and vocational education	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Hospital migration	%	6.2	High score for low values	8	▮▮▮ High
Beds in residential healthcare facilities per 10k inhabitants	value per 10k inhab.	51.4	High score for high values	1	▮ Low
Integrated Home Care services	%	4.3	High score for high values	8	▮▮▮ High
Accredited private healthcare facilities per 10k inhabitants	value per 10k inhab.	2.7559	High score for low values	4	▮▮ Medium
Per capita territorial pharmaceutical expenditure	€ p.c.	11.2	High score for low values	3	▮▮ Medium

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Essential levels of care - prevention area	abs	45.5252	High score for high values	1	🟥 Low
Essential levels of care - territorial area	abs	62.1883	High score for high values	1	🟥 Low
Essential levels of care - hospital area	abs	75.2924	High score for high values	4	⏸ Medium
Services guaranteed in time (priority class B)	abs	80.0475	High score for high values	4	⏸ Medium

⑤ Public tenders and relations with suppliers

P+ - Weak

Rating

46

out of 100

Chronological trend

Not available

Benchmark score

Benchmark
Liguria   **100**/100

Average score of the Public Administrations   **60**/100

Worst score
Basilicata   **18**/100

Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class

ND - Unavailable
F - Fallible
P - Poor
P+ - Weak
PP - Satisfactory
PP+ - Good
PPP - Very Good
PPP+ - Excellent

⚡ Strengths

- Direct procurements on global public tenders - amount
- Timeliness of payments indicator

Weaknesses

- Per capita total amount of debts with suppliers
- Number of corporate creditor per 10k inhabitants
- Settlement of commercial debts incurred during previous years

INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR PUBLIC TENDERS AND RELATIONS WITH SUPPLIERS

| Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Direct procurements on global public tenders - number	%	84.3236	High score for low values	4	▌▌ Medium
Direct procurements on global public tenders - amount	%	2.7322	High score for low values	8	▐▐▐ High
Timeliness of payments indicator	days	-7.2	High score for low values	20	▐▐▐ High
Per capita total amount of debts with suppliers	€ p.c.	38.1404	High score for low values	2	▌ Low
Number of corporate creditor per 10k inhabitants	value per 10k inhab.	8.0112	High score for low values	2	▌ Low
Settlement of commercial debts incurred during the fiscal year	%	81.4	High score for high values	8	▌▌ Medium
Settlement of commercial debts incurred during previous years	%	33.3	High score for high values	2	▌ Low

⑥ Environment

P+ - Weak


Rating

40

out of 100

Chronological trend

Not available

Benchmark score

Benchmark
Valle d'Aosta

69/100

Average score of the Public Administrations

51/100

Worst score
Liguria

32/100

Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class

ND - Unavailable
F - Fallible
P - Poor
P+ - Weak
PP - Satisfactory
PP+ - Good
PPP - Very Good
PPP+ - Excellent

⚡ **Strengths**	🚫 **Weaknesses**
• Population exposed to flood risk	• Urban waste disposal at landfill
	• Electricity consumption covered by renewable sources

INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR ENVIRONMENT

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Air quality - PM 2.5	%	66.0	High score for low values	6	▌▌ Medium
Land consumption	%	6.52	High score for low values	6	▌▌ Medium
Contaminated sites	‰ inhabitants	3.2	High score for low values	5	▌▌ Medium
Urban waste disposal at landfill	%	51.5	High score for low values	1	▌ Low
Soil waterproofing due to artificial covering	%	6.5	High score for low values	5	▌▌ Medium
Electricity consumption covered by renewable sources	%	28.0	High score for high values	1	▌ Low
Population exposed to landslide risk	%	1.8	High score for low values	6	▌▌ Medium

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Population exposed to flood risk	%	2.6	High score for low values	10	🟩🟩🟩 High
Per capita expenditure on sustainable development and environmental protection	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.