

ADMINISTRATIVE CAPACITY INDEX

Regions 2023

Sicilia



SYNTHETIC INDEX OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATIVE CAPACITY

P - Poor

Rating

34

out of 100

Chronological trend



Benchmark score

Benchmark
Emilia-Romagna **68/100**



Average score of the Public Administrations **50/100**



Worst score
Basilicata
Molise **28/100**





Research Center on Public Entities A company of [Fondazione Etica](#)

Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class

Administrative capacity: summary of the 6 macro-indicators

1 Financial situation

F - Fallible

Rating

6

out of 100

Chronological trend



Benchmark score

Benchmark
Emilia-Romagna **79/100**



Average score of the Public Administrations **49/100**



Worst score
Sicilia
Molise **6/100**



Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class




Strengths



Weaknesses

- Off-budget debts recognized and financed
- EU funds management - effected payments

INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR FINANCIAL SITUATION

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Financial autonomy	%	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Financial pressure per capita	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.
Collection capacity	%	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Spending capacity	%	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Spending rigidity	%	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.
Per capita debt from financing	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.
Off-budget debts recognized and financed	%	1.17	High score for low values	1	 Low
Coverage of current expenditure and loan repayments through current revenues	%	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
New liabilities generated in the current period on the current accumulated liabilities	%	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Capital account expenditure financed by loans and bonds	%	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.
Deficit/surplus on health expenditure per capita	€ p.c.	- 8.2022	High score for high values	4	■ ■ Medium
EU funds management - effected payments	%	59.0	High score for high values	1	■ Low

2 Governance

P - Poor

Rating


35

out of 100

Chronological trend



Benchmark score



Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



Strengths







- Degree of digitalization
- Anti-corruption measures undertaken




Weaknesses

- Working from home (WFH)
- Public Real Estate properties - management
- Average completion time for public works
- Compliance with public works supervision

INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR GOVERNANCE

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
E- Government	absolute value	107.0	High score for high values	4	 Medium
Degree of digitalization	absolute value	12.0	High score for high values	8	 High
Performance	absolute value	110.0	High score for high values	4	 Medium
Working from home (WFH)	absolute value	100.0	High score for high values	1	 Low
Public real estate properties - report	absolute value	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Public Real Estate properties - management	€ p.c.	- 6.5434	High score for high values	1	 Low
Subsidiary companies	absolute value	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Anti-corruption measures undertaken	absolute value	9.9	High score for high values	10	 High
Service outsourcing	%	3.2	High score for low values	5	 Medium
Efficiency indicator - reporting	absolute value	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Efficiency indicator - timing supervision	absolute value	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Average completion time for public works	mean value	1.8853	High score for low values	1	 Medium

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Compliance with public works supervision	%	26.0	High score for high values	1	 Low

3 Personnel management

P - Poor

Rating

34

out of 100

Chronological trend



Benchmark score



Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



Strengths

- Personnel with fixed-term contract on total personnel
- Provided bonus out of allocated ones to managers



Weaknesses

- Average age
- Personnel with a degree on total personnel
- Average days of absence (except holidays and training)
- Total managers on total personnel
- Women managers on total managers
- Degree of differentiation of bonus paid to managers

INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Per capita personnel expenditure	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.
Personnel with a permanent contract per 1,000 inhabitants	val./1.000 ab.	2.4935	High score for low values	5	■ Medium
Personnel with fixed-term contract on total personnel	%	0.0	High score for low values	10	■■ High
Average age	years	57.4548	High score for low values	1	■ Low
Personnel with a degree on total personnel	%	26.9584	High score for high values	1	■ Low
Average days of absence (except holidays and training)	days per person	19.383	High score for low values	1	■ Low
Average of training days	days	0.6253	High score for high values	5	■ Medium
Total managers on total personnel	%	6.9818	High score for low values	1	■ Low
Women managers on total managers	%	31.2201	High score for high values	1	■ Low
Provided bonus out of allocated ones to managers	%	72.3839	High score for low values	8	■■ High
Degree of differentiation of bonus paid to managers	variance	15.438	High score for high values	1	■ Low

4 Public services and relations with citizens

P+ - Weak

Rating

42

out of 100

Chronological trend



Benchmark score

Benchmark

Toscana

74/100



Average score of the Public Administrations

51/100



Worst score

Puglia

28/100



Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



Strengths







- Landline high-speed internet access covering
- Hospital migration
- Integrated Home Care services







Weaknesses

- Beds in residential healthcare facilities per 10k inhabitants
- Essential levels of care - prevention area
- Essential levels of care - territorial area

INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR PUBLIC SERVICES AND RELATIONS WITH CITIZENS

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Landline high-speed internet access covering	%	47.5	High score for high values	8	 High
Per capita expenditure on transport and right to mobility	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Per capita expenditure on economic development and competitiveness	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Per capita expenditure on labour policies and vocational education	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Hospital migration	%	6.2	High score for low values	8	 High
Beds in residential healthcare facilities per 10k inhabitants	val./10.000 ab.	51.4	High score for high values	1	 Low
Integrated Home Care services	%	4.3	High score for high values	8	 High
Accredited private healthcare facilities per 10k inhabitants	val./10.000 ab.	2.7559	High score for low values	4	 Medium
Per capita territorial pharmaceutical expenditure	€ p.c.	11.2	High score for low values	3	 Medium

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Essential levels of care - prevention area	absolute value	45.5252	High score for high values	1	 Low
Essential levels of care - territorial area	absolute value	62.1883	High score for high values	1	 Low
Essential levels of care - hospital area	absolute value	75.2924	High score for high values	4	 Medium
Services guaranteed in time (priority class B)	absolute value	80.0475	High score for high values	4	 Medium

5 Public tenders and relations with suppliers

P+ - Weak

Rating

46

out of 100

Chronological trend



Benchmark score

Benchmark

Liguria

100/100



Average score of the Public Administrations

60/100



Worst score

Basilicata

18/100



Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



Strengths

- Direct procurements on global public tenders - amount
- Timeliness of payments indicator



Weaknesses

- Per capita total amount of debts with suppliers
- Number of corporate creditor per 10k inhabitants
- Settlement of commercial debts incurred during previous years

INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR PUBLIC TENDERS AND RELATIONS WITH SUPPLIERS

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Direct procurements on global public tenders - number	%	84.3236	High score for low values	4	■ ■ Medium
Direct procurements on global public tenders - amount	%	2.7322	High score for low values	8	■ ■ ■ High
Timeliness of payments indicator	days	-7.2	High score for low values	20	■ ■ ■ High
Per capita total amount of debts with suppliers	€ p.c.	38.1404	High score for low values	2	■ Low
Number of corporate creditor per 10k inhabitants	val./10.000 ab.	8.0112	High score for low values	2	■ Low
Settlement of commercial debts incurred during the fiscal year	%	81.4	High score for high values	8	■ ■ Medium
Settlement of commercial debts incurred during previous years	%	33.3	High score for high values	2	■ Low

6 Environment

P+ - Weak

Rating


40

out of 100

Chronological trend



Benchmark score



Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



Strengths



Weaknesses

● Population exposed to flood risk

● Urban waste disposal at landfill

● Electricity consumption covered by renewable sources

INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR ENVIRONMENT

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Air quality - PM 2.5	%	66.0	High score for low values	6	■ ■ Medium
Land consumption	%	6.52	High score for low values	6	■ ■ Medium
Contaminated sites	‰ inhabitants	3.2	High score for low values	5	■ ■ Medium
Urban waste disposal at landfill	%	51.5	High score for low values	1	■ Low
Soil waterproofing due to artificial covering	%	6.5	High score for low values	5	■ ■ Medium
Electricity consumption covered by renewable sources	%	28.0	High score for high values	1	■ Low
Population exposed to landslide risk	%	1.8	High score for low values	6	■ ■ Medium

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Population exposed to flood risk	%	2.6	High score for low values	10	High
Per capita expenditure on sustainable development and environmental protection	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.