


## ADMINISTRATIVE CAPACITY INDEX

Regions  
data collection 2021

# Piemonte



## SYNTHETIC INDEX OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATIVE CAPACITY

PP+ - Good

Rating


64

out of 100


Chronological trend



### Benchmark score




### Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



### Administrative capacity: summary of the 6 macro-indicators

- Score of the Public Administration
- Benchmark Public Administration for each macro-area
- Average score of Public Administrations assessed



## 1 Financial situation

PP - Satisfactory

Rating


55

out of 100


Chronological trend



### Benchmark score



### Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



#### Strengths

- Spending rigidity
- New liabilities generated in the current period on the current accumulated liabilities



#### Weaknesses

- EU funds management - effected payments

## INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR FINANCIAL SITUATION

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Financial autonomy	%	91.99	High score for high values	4	■ ■ Medium
Financial pressure per capita	€ p.c.	2,403.54	High score for low values	4	■ ■ Medium
Collection capacity	%	86.55	High score for high values	5	■ ■ Medium
Spending capacity	%	86.2	High score for high values	5	■ ■ Medium
Spending rigidity	%	2.81	High score for low values	10	■ ■ ■ High
Coverage of current expenditure and loan repayments through current revenues	%	94.97	High score for high values	4	■ ■ Medium
New liabilities generated in the current period on the current accumulated liabilities	%	38.83	High score for low values	8	■ ■ ■ High

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Capital account expenditure financed by loans and bonds	%	6.27	High score for low values	4	■ ■ Medium
Debt per capita	€ p.c.	1,239.01	High score for low values	5	■ ■ Medium
Deficit/surplus on health expenditure per capita	€ p.c.	-12.55	High score for high values	5	■ ■ Medium
EU funds management - effected payments	%	35.0	High score for high values	1	■ Low

## 2 Governance

PPP - Very Good

Rating


82

out of 100

Chronological trend




### Benchmark score





### Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



#### Strengths














#### Weaknesses

- Open data availability
- E- Government
- Degree of digitalization
- Working from home (WFH)
- Public works incompleted
- Public Real Estate properties - wide report
- Subsidiary companies
- Anti-corruption measures undertaken
- Court of Auditors - update

- Performance
- Public Real Estate properties - management

## INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR GOVERNANCE

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Open data availability	value	1,172.6	High score for high values	8	 High
E- Government	value	20.4	High score for high values	10	 High
Degree of digitalization	value	0.99	High score for high values	10	 High
Performance	value	0.0	High score for high values	1	 Low
Working from home (WFH)	value	11.0	High score for high values	8	 High
Public works incompleted	%	1.7	High score for low values	8	 High
Public Real Estate properties - wide report	value	1,111.0	High score for high values	8	 High
Public Real Estate properties - management	€ p.c.	-1.64	High score for high values	1	 Low
Subsidiary companies	absolute value	94.44	High score for high values	10	 High
Anti-corruption measures undertaken	value	15.6	High score for high values	10	 High
Court of Auditors - update	value	2.0	High score for low values	8	 High

### 3 Personnel management

PP+ - Good

Rating


66

out of 100


Chronological trend



#### Benchmark score



### Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



#### Strengths



#### Weaknesses

- Per capita personnel expenditure
- Personnel expenditure on current expenditure
- Personnel with fixed-term contract on total personnel
- Average days of absence (sick leave)
- Managers on population


- Degree of differentiation of bonus paid to managers

## INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT


Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Per capita personnel expenditure	€ p.c.	45.0	High score for low values	10	 High
Personnel expenditure on current expenditure	%	1.83	High score for low values	10	 High
Expenditure for external advisory on personnel expenditure	%	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.
Personnel with fixed-term contract on total personnel	%	0.0	High score for low values	10	 High
Average age	years	54.41	High score for low values	5	 Medium
Personnel with a degree on total personnel	%	46.17	High score for high values	5	 Medium
Average days of absence (sick leave)	days per person	5.64	High score for low values	10	 High
Managers on population	val./10.000 ab.	0.22	High score for low values	10	 High
Provided bonus out of allocated ones to managers	%	98.7	High score for low values	5	 Medium
Degree of differentiation of bonus paid to managers	variance	28.41	High score for high values	1	 Low

## 4 Public services and relations with citizens


PP+ - Good



### Benchmark score



### Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



#### Strengths



#### Weaknesses

- Efficiency indicator - reporting (multivariable)
- Efficiency indicator - timing supervision (multivariable)
- Online services
- Landline high-speed internet access covering
- Hospital migration
- Beds in residential healthcare facilities per 10k inhabitants
- Citizens involvement

- Accredited private healthcare facilities per 10k inhabitants

## INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR PUBLIC SERVICES AND RELATIONS WITH CITIZENS

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Efficiency indicator - reporting (multivariable)	value	2.0	High score for high values	10	■■■ High
Efficiency indicator - timing supervision (multivariable)	value	2.0	High score for high values	10	■■■ High
Online services	value	19.5	High score for high values	10	■■■ High
Landline high-speed internet access covering	%	34.7	High score for high values	10	■■■ High
Hospital migration	%	6.7	High score for low values	8	■■■ High
Beds in residential healthcare facilities per 10k inhabitants	val./10.000 ab.	115.5	High score for high values	8	■■■ High
Integrated Home Care services	%	2.7	High score for high values	4	■■ Medium
Accredited private healthcare facilities per 10k inhabitants	val./10.000 ab.	3.33	High score for low values	1	■ Low
Per capita territorial pharmaceutical expenditure	€ p.c.	121.8	High score for low values	4	■■ Medium
Planning of renewal of disused public RE properties	value	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Citizens involvement	value	6.5	High score for high values	6	■■■ High
FOIA register: accepted requests	%	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.



<b>Indicator name</b>	<b>Unit of measure</b>	<b>Value</b>	<b>Scoring criteria</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>Evaluation of the indicator</b>
FOIA register: average time of reply to requests	days	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.

**5** Public tenders and relations with suppliers

P+ - Weak

Rating


40

out of 100


Chronological trend



**Benchmark score**



### Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



#### Strengths



- Per capita total amount of debts with suppliers



#### Weaknesses

- Recurring contractors in direct procurements
- Direct procurements on global public tenders - number
- Direct procurements on global public tenders - amount

## INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR PUBLIC TENDERS AND RELATIONS WITH SUPPLIERS

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Recurring contractors in direct procurements	%	20.77	High score for low values	2	 Low
Direct procurements on global public tenders - number	%	80.04	High score for low values	2	 Low
Direct procurements on global public tenders - amount	%	64.87	High score for low values	2	 Low
Timeliness of payments indicator	days	7.74	High score for low values	8	 Medium
Per capita total amount of debts with suppliers	€ p.c.	1.02	High score for low values	16	 High
Number of corporate creditor per 10k inhabitants	val./10.000 ab.	0.52	High score for low values	5	 Medium
Settlement of commercial debts incurred during the fiscal year	%	92.63	High score for high values	5	 Medium

## 6 Environment

PP - Satisfactory

Rating


53

out of 100


Chronological trend



### Benchmark score



### Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



#### Strengths











#### Weaknesses

- Urban waste disposal at landfill
- Population exposed to landslide risk

- Air quality - PM 2.5
- Contaminated sites

## INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR ENVIRONMENT

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Air quality - PM 2.5	%	88.6	High score for low values	1	 Low
Land consumption	%	6.7	High score for low values	6	 Medium
Contaminated sites	‰ inhabitants	42.6	High score for low values	1	 Low
Urban waste disposal at landfill	%	12.0	High score for low values	14	 High
Soil waterproofing due to artificial covering	%	6.7	High score for low values	6	 Medium
Population exposed to landslide risk	%	1.6	High score for low values	12	 High
Population exposed to flood risk	%	4.8	High score for low values	6	 Medium
Electricity consumption covered by renewable sources	%	41.8	High score for high values	7	 Medium