


## ADMINISTRATIVE CAPACITY INDEX

Regions  
data collection 2021

# Sardegna



## SYNTHETIC INDEX OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATIVE CAPACITY

**P - Poor**

Rating


**39**

out of 100


Chronological trend



### Benchmark score




### Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



### Administrative capacity: summary of the 6 macro-indicators

- Score of the Public Administration
- Benchmark Public Administration for each macro-area
- Average score of Public Administrations assessed



## 1 Financial situation

PP - Satisfactory

Rating


51

out of 100


Chronological trend



### Benchmark score



### Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



#### Strengths








- Financial autonomy
- Collection capacity
- Debt per capita







#### Weaknesses

- Financial pressure per capita
- New liabilities generated in the current period on the current accumulated liabilities
- Capital account expenditure financed by loans and bonds
- Deficit/surplus on health expenditure per capita

## INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR FINANCIAL SITUATION

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Financial autonomy	%	96.34	High score for high values	8	 High
Financial pressure per capita	€ p.c.	4,482.34	High score for low values	1	 Low
Collection capacity	%	95.78	High score for high values	10	 High
Spending capacity	%	88.98	High score for high values	5	 Medium
Spending rigidity	%	4.25	High score for low values	5	 Medium
Coverage of current expenditure and loan repayments through current revenues	%	92.22	High score for high values	4	 Medium
New liabilities generated in the current period on the current accumulated liabilities	%	73.58	High score for low values	1	 Low

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Capital account expenditure financed by loans and bonds	%	10.53	High score for low values	1	 Low
Debt per capita	€ p.c.	917.48	High score for low values	10	 High
Deficit/surplus on health expenditure per capita	€ p.c.	-153.08	High score for high values	1	 Low
EU funds management - effected payments	%	44.0	High score for high values	5	 Medium

## 2 Governance

P - Poor

Rating


30

out of 100

Chronological trend




### Benchmark score





### Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



#### Strengths












#### Weaknesses

● Court of Auditors - update

- Open data availability
- E- Government
- Public works incompleted
- Subsidiary companies

## INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR GOVERNANCE

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Open data availability	value	62.0	High score for high values	1	 Low
E- Government	value	2.4	High score for high values	1	 Low
Degree of digitalization	value	0.96	High score for high values	5	 Medium
Performance	value	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Working from home (WFH)	value	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Public works incompleted	%	12.86	High score for low values	1	 Low
Public Real Estate properties - wide report	value	1,010.0	High score for high values	4	 Medium
Public Real Estate properties - management	€ p.c.	-1.0	High score for high values	4	 Medium
Subsidiary companies	absolute value	56.25	High score for high values	1	 Low
Anti-corruption measures undertaken	value	12.6	High score for high values	5	 Medium
Court of Auditors - update	value	2.0	High score for low values	8	 High

## 3 Personnel management

P+ - Weak

Rating


46

out of 100


Chronological trend



### Benchmark score



### Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



#### Strengths



#### Weaknesses

- Personnel with fixed-term contract on total personnel

- Personnel with a degree on total personnel

## INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Per capita personnel expenditure	€ p.c.	151.19	High score for low values	5	■ ■ Medium
Personnel expenditure on current expenditure	%	3.56	High score for low values	5	■ ■ Medium
Expenditure for external advisory on personnel expenditure	%	0.27	High score for low values	5	■ ■ Medium
Personnel with fixed-term contract on total personnel	%	0.0	High score for low values	10	■ ■ ■ High
Average age	years	53.97	High score for low values	5	■ ■ Medium
Personnel with a degree on total personnel	%	37.6	High score for high values	1	■ Low
Average days of absence (sick leave)	days per person	9.07	High score for low values	5	■ ■ Medium
Managers on population	val./10.000 ab.	0.7	High score for low values	5	■ ■ Medium
Provided bonus out of allocated ones to managers	%	97.95	High score for low values	5	■ ■ Medium
Degree of differentiation of bonus paid to managers	variance	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.

## 4 Public services and relations with citizens

P - Poor

Rating


35

out of 100


Chronological trend



### Benchmark score



### Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



#### Strengths

- Hospital migration
- Accredited private healthcare facilities per 10k inhabitants
- Planning of renewal of disused public RE properties



#### Weaknesses

- Online services
- Landline high-speed internet access covering
- Integrated Home Care services
- Per capita territorial pharmaceutical expenditure

## INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR PUBLIC SERVICES AND RELATIONS WITH CITIZENS

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Efficiency indicator - reporting (multivariable)	value	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Efficiency indicator - timing supervision (multivariable)	value	n.d.	High score for high values	0	N.A.
Online services	value	19.0	High score for high values	1	 Low
Landline high-speed internet access covering	%	14.6	High score for high values	1	 Low
Hospital migration	%	6.4	High score for low values	8	 High
Beds in residential healthcare facilities per 10k inhabitants	val./10.000 ab.	51.8	High score for high values	4	 Medium
Integrated Home Care services	%	1.2	High score for high values	1	 Low
Accredited private healthcare facilities per 10k inhabitants	val./10.000 ab.	1.92	High score for low values	8	 High
Per capita territorial pharmaceutical expenditure	€ p.c.	141.1	High score for low values	1	 Low
Planning of renewal of disused public RE properties	value	3.0	High score for high values	6	 High
Citizens involvement	value	2.6	High score for high values	3	 Medium
FOIA register: accepted requests	%	86.7	High score for high values	2	 Medium



<b>Indicator name</b>	<b>Unit of measure</b>	<b>Value</b>	<b>Scoring criteria</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>Evaluation of the indicator</b>
FOIA register: average time of reply to requests	days	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.

## 5 Public tenders and relations with suppliers

P - Poor

Rating


21

out of 100


Chronological trend



### Benchmark score



### Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



**Strengths**



**Weaknesses**

- Timeliness of payments indicator

## INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR PUBLIC TENDERS AND RELATIONS WITH SUPPLIERS

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Recurring contractors in direct procurements	%	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.
Direct procurements on global public tenders - number	%	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.
Direct procurements on global public tenders - amount	%	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.
Timeliness of payments indicator	days	- 11.41	High score for low values	16	 High
Per capita total amount of debts with suppliers	€ p.c.	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.
Number of corporate creditor per 10k inhabitants	val./10.000 ab.	n.d.	High score for low values	0	N.A.
Settlement of commercial debts incurred during the fiscal year	%	75.0	High score for high values	5	 Medium

## 6 Environment

PP+ - Good

Rating


64

out of 100


Chronological trend



### Benchmark score



### Distribution of Public Administrations with respect to the rating class



#### Strengths











#### Weaknesses

- Air quality - PM 2.5
- Land consumption
- Soil waterproofing due to artificial covering
- Population exposed to landslide risk

- Contaminated sites
- Population exposed to flood risk

## INDICATORS OF THE MACRO-INDICATOR ENVIRONMENT

Indicator name	Unit of measure	Value	Scoring criteria	Score	Evaluation of the indicator
Air quality - PM 2.5	%	37.5	High score for low values	12	 High
Land consumption	%	3.3	High score for low values	12	 High
Contaminated sites	‰ inhabitants	12.4	High score for low values	1	 Low
Urban waste disposal at landfill	%	22.4	High score for low values	7	 Medium
Soil waterproofing due to artificial covering	%	3.3	High score for low values	12	 High
Population exposed to landslide risk	%	1.4	High score for low values	12	 High
Population exposed to flood risk	%	7.1	High score for low values	1	 Low
Electricity consumption covered by renewable sources	%	34.2	High score for high values	7	 Medium